

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia, 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,413	08/27/2001	Takashi Ohgawara	6577 โ/JPWAMS	4336
7	590 05/20/2003			
Cooper & Dunham LLP			EXAMINER	
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			BARBEE, MANUEL L	IANUEL L
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· Office Action Summary	09/940,413	OHGAWARA ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communi	Manuel L. Barbee	2857 with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stather and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extend	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may unication. l) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 November 2002				
, <u> </u>	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	·			
,—	, —	atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers	ion and/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority d 	ocuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in a	Application No			
	f the priority documents have been tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies no	_			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo					
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 	O-948) 5) Notice of	r Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			

Application/Control Number: 09/940,413

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 2, lines 13 and 14, please amend the sentence to correct the awkward wording.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Smith (US Patent No. 5,950,140).

With regard to collecting the measured data items, as shown in claims 1 and 7, Smith teaches using remote sensors to collect position information (col. 2, lines 6-24; col. 2, line 55 - col. 4, line 67). With regard to generating measurement data for users according to contract conditions for each user, as shown in claims 1 and 7, and hierarchically grouping the data according contract conditions for each user, as shown in claims 4 and 10, Smith teaches gathering measurements and generating a report according to an user defined set of data (col. 6, lines 1-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Oishi (JP 410112264A).

Smith teaches all the limitations of claim 1 upon which claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 depend and claim 7 upon which claims 8, 9, 11 and 12 depend. Further, with regard to generating measurement data according to contract conditions, as shown in claims 3 and 9, Smith teaches using an user defined set of data, as shown above with regard to claims 1, 4, 7 and 10. Smith does not teach generating data on the condition that each at least one measuring instrument does not malfunction, as shown in claims 2 and 8, or determining whether at least one of the measured data items is abnormal and giving a re-measurement instruction in the case where at least one measured data item is abnormal, as shown in claims 3, 5, 6, 9, 11 and 12.

Oishi teaches determining whether a measurement value is abnormal or erroneous and making a remeasurement when data is abnormal (Abstract). Oishi teaches displaying data when it is judged to be correct. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the creep monitoring apparatus, as taught by Smith, to include checking to make sure measurements are normal and remeasuring when an abnormal measurement is

Application/Control Number: 09/940,413

Art Unit: 2857

detected, as taught by Oishi, because then more accurate measurements would have been made and inaccurate measurements would not have been included in the data collection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Brunson et al. (US Patent No. 4,486,844) teach an inclinometer.

Hayashi et al. (US Patent No. 5,378,429) teach a corrosive environment sensor and remeasurement in the event of inaccurate measurement.

Bielak et al. (US Patent No. 5,873,049) teach collecting geological data.

Hale et al. (US Patent No. 5,991,687) teach collecting and communicating geographical data.

Roy et al. (US Patent No. 6,560,565) teach seismic information and control system.

Kurisu (JP401016025A) teaches malfunction detection and remeasurement when a malfunction is detected.

Chubu Denryoku (JP 09184719A) teach a landslide detector with a number of measuring instruments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel L. Barbee whose telephone number is 703-308-0979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 2857

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0976.

mlb May 14, 2003 MARC S. HOPF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800